1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	IN THE MATTER OF:)
4	PRIMECO PERSONAL COMMMUNICATIONS)) No. 00-0670 vs.
5)
6	ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE) COMMUNICATIONS (AMERITECH ILLINOIS)))
7	COMPLAINT pursuant to Sections) 13-514 and 13-515 of the Public)
8	Utilities Act.)
9	Chicago, Illinois
10	October 25, 2000
11	
12	Met, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 o'clock p.m.
13	
14	BEFORE:
15	
16	MR. SHERWIN ZABAN,
17	Administrative Law Judge
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	
4	HOPKINS & SUTTER, by MR. JOHN W. MC CAFFREY and
5	MR. JOHN W. MC CAFFREY AND MS. KATHLEEN P. PASULKA-BROWN Three First National Plaza
6	70 West Madison Street Suite 4100 Chicago, Illinois 60602-4205
7	appearing for PrimeCo Personal Communications;
8	FILMECO FEISOMAI COMMUNICACIONS/
9	MR. KARL B. ANDERSON 225 West Randolph
10	Chicago, Illinois 60606 appearing for
11	Illinois Bell Telephone Company;
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
22	Leah Ann Bezin, CSR License No. 084-001104

- 1 JUDGE ZABAN: By the power vested in me by the
- 2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
- 3 No. 00-0670, PrimeCo Personal Communications versus
- 4 Illinois Bell Telephone Company doing business as
- 5 Ameritech.
- 6 This is a complaint pursuant to
- 7 Sections 13-514 and 13-515 of the Public Utilities
- 8 Act.
- 9 Will the parties please identify
- 10 themselves for the record.
- 11 MR. ANDERSON: Karl B. Anderson, Illinois Bell
- 12 Telephone Company, 225 West Randolph, Chicago,
- 13 Illinois 60606.
- MR. MC CAFFREY: John W. McCaffrey and
- 15 Kathy Pasulka-Brown of Hopkins & Sutter, 70 West
- 16 Madison, Suite 4100, for PrimeCo Personal
- 17 Communications.
- 18 JUDGE ZABAN: Okay. And it's my understanding
- 19 that the parties have presented a
- 20 motion -- actually, it's an agreed motion to replace
- 21 the original redacted verified complaint with a new
- 22 redacted verified complaint; is that correct?

- 1 MR. MC CAFFREY: That's correct.
- 2 JUDGE ZABAN: Okay. And that is agreed,
- 3 Mr. Anderson?
- 4 MR. ANDERSON: Yes, that is correct.
- JUDGE ZABAN: Okay. And, Mr. McCaffrey, it's my
- 6 understanding that in addition to the notice having
- 7 been sent out to all the parties, that a copy of the
- 8 redacted verified complaint has been sent to the
- 9 clerk's office; is that correct?
- 10 MR. MC CAFFREY: That is correct.
- 11 JUDGE ZABAN: Okay. So that I will grant that
- 12 motion.
- 13 And, as part of any order I enter today,
- 14 I will instruct the clerk's office to remove the old
- 15 complaint from the E docket and replace it with the
- 16 redacted verified complaint.
- 17 MR. MC CAFFREY: Remove the old redacted
- 18 complaint.
- 19 JUDGE ZABAN: Right. And, as we said, the
- 20 re-redacted verified complaint. Okay.
- 21 MR. MC CAFFREY: Yes.
- 22 JUDGE ZABAN: Okay. Now, as we started

- 1 to discuss, we are somewhat on a limited timetable.
- 2 As I understand the rules, they require
- 3 us to have a hearing within or commence a hearing
- 4 within 30 days and have an order to the Commission
- 5 no later than 60 days after the time that the
- 6 complaint is filed, which means -- my understanding
- 7 was this complaint was filed October 17th.
- 8 So we are looking at probably the week of
- 9 the 13th in November for a hearing and somewhere
- 10 around the 15th for presenting an order to the
- 11 Commission. Actually, probably a little before
- 12 that.
- 13 MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Hearing Examiner, we have
- 14 discussed dates which would result in pushing the
- 15 hearings somewhat beyond that 30 days. And both
- 16 parties have agreed, you know, subject to your
- 17 approval, to waive the strict 30-day limit on the
- 18 hearing to accommodate the schedule.
- 19 But it is a fairly expedited schedule,
- 20 and perhaps we could give you our proposed dates at
- 21 this time.
- JUDGE ZABAN: Okay. Why don't we go off the

- 1 record and let's talk. Okay?
- 2 (Whereupon, a discussion was
- a had off the record.)
- 4 JUDGE ZABAN: Okay. Let's go on the record.
- 5 Okay. We are back on the record.
- 6 We have had an opportunity to discuss
- 7 this matter in terms of a schedule off the record.
- Before we went off the record,
- 9 Mr. McCaffrey, I believe that you had indicated that
- 10 you wanted to make some comment for the record.
- 11 MR. MC CAFFREY: Just that PrimeCo and Ameritech
- 12 had discussed it, and we agreed -- PrimeCo was
- 13 agreeable to be flexible in the time frame provided
- 14 that the dates that we did set for a schedule, which
- 15 now we have all agreed to, are firm dates.
- 16 JUDGE ZABAN: Okay. And what we also discussed
- 17 off the record is that both the parties are going to
- 18 agree to waive the statutory time period in terms of
- 19 time that the Hearing Examiner's order is due to
- 20 allow full discovery and to allow the parties an
- 21 opportunity to present all the testimony and give
- 22 them adequate time to prepare their cases; is that

- 1 correct?
- 2 MR. MC CAFFREY: That's correct.
- 3 JUDGE ZABAN: Okay. And that's going to be
- 4 followed up by a written motion that you are going
- 5 to provide me, an agreed written motion that you are
- 6 going to provide me with tomorrow; is that correct?
- 7 MR. MC CAFFREY: Correct.
- 8 MR. ANDERSON: That's correct.
- 9 JUDGE ZABAN: Okay. All right.
- 10 So my understanding of the schedule is
- 11 that PrimeCo has already tendered its testimony to
- 12 Illinois Bell; and that Illinois Bell is going to
- 13 have until November the 8th for supplying its
- 14 pre-offered direct testimony; PrimeCo will then have
- 15 until November the 17th for rebuttal testimony; that
- 16 the hearing dates are tentatively scheduled for
- 17 December the 4th and 5th at 10:00 o'clock; that
- 18 December the 15th will be the date for the initial
- 19 briefs; December the 22nd will be the date for the
- 20 reply briefs and proposed
- 21 orders; and that the Hearing Examiner's order,
- 22 proposed order, will be due on January the 3rd 2001.

- 1 Is that our understanding?
- 2 MR. MC CAFFREY: That's my understanding.
- 3 MR. ANDERSON: That's correct.
- 4 JUDGE ZABAN: Okay.
- 5 And also, I'm going to allow the service
- 6 by e-mail on the individual parties of any documents
- 7 that are due, and that will be followed up by hard
- 8 copy that evening or the next day. Okay?
- 9 Do we have anything further?
- 10 MR. ANDERSON: I have nothing further.
- MR. MC CAFFREY: Do we want to talk about
- 12 discovery at all?
- 13 MR. ANDERSON: I don't think we have anything to
- 14 talk about.
- We have some discovery from PrimeCo that
- 16 we are endeavoring to answer; and we will have some
- 17 discovery for PrimeCo, which we will try to get out
- 18 by the end of this week.
- 19 MR. MC CAFFREY: Okay.
- 20 JUDGE ZABAN: Just so you understand, my policy
- 21 on these matters is, when it comes to discovery, I
- 22 am willing to entertain emergency motions if there

- 1 is a problem.
- We can do it one or two ways.
- 3 Since everybody is downtown, it isn't
- 4 much of a problem. But we can also do it by a
- 5 telephone conference as well. Just give me a little
- 6 notice so I can make sure I'm available, and we can
- 7 resolve whatever problems we have.
- 8 MR. MC CAFFREY: Terrific.
- 9 JUDGE ZABAN: Okay?
- 10 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.
- 11 MR. MC CAFFREY: Thank you.
- 12 JUDGE ZABAN: Okay. Thank you very much.
- 13 It was nice meeting all of you.
- 14 I look forward to seeing you more in the
- 15 future.
- 16 MR. MC CAFFREY: Very good.
- 17 JUDGE ZABAN: Because when you are here, I have a
- 18 job.
- 19 (Whereupon, the above-entitled
- 20 matter was continued to
- 21 December 4th, A.D., 2000,
- 22 at 10:00 o'clock a.m.)